

Cost-neutrality in the public sector:

Pipe dream or within reach?

- Christian Jung Manager
- Stephanie White Senior Evaluation Officer
- Evaluation Practice (EP) Department of Education (Victoria)





Part 1: Cost-neutrality

...what's that?



Department

of Education

Evaluation Practice | Performance and Evaluation division | Policy, Strategy and Performance group | matters |

2



Cost-neutral means no net costs for the org

- Really that novel?
- Many business areas in the Public Service run a 'cost-recovery' model (e.g. in IT)
- Recovered does not equal neutral
- Recovered: cost is covered to some degree by project revenue
- Neutral: entirety of costs of the whole business area is covered by project revenue (aka: 'net zero')
- Revenue >= salaries + ops costs
- Revenue....? What revenue???







Revenue is evaluation funding

- 1. Revenue is what Dep't of Education program areas pay EP to conduct evaluations
- 2. It is money that is provided as part of the programs' funding bythe Dep't of Treasury & Finance
- 3. At the budget bid stage, evaluations are costed at commercial rates
- 4. This allows program areas to choose to either let EP conduct the evaluation or approach the market
- 5. In FY2223 EP 'earned' \$3.4m of internal revenue.
- 6. NB: This is money that would have ordinarily gone out to external consultants

Program ID	EP funding (\$)
Program A	130,379
Program B	80,124
Program C	307,533
Program D	376,500
Program E	313,025
Program F	60,000
Program G	518,750
Program H	305,000
Program I	186,915
Program J	63,836
Program K	74,080
Program L	40,000
Program M	80,000
Program N	55,121
Program O	385,000
Program P	79,527
Program Q	109,719
Program R	206,675
TOTAL	3.372.184





Cost and spend: monitoring and forecasting

Comparing 'revenue' and (estimated) spend shows whether we are cost-neutral (or how far off +/- we are)





Part 2: Planning



6



Planning – Public Sector constraints

- Need to plan carefully, because we cannot (quickly) add more (staffing) resources
- Should work at or near maximum capacity
 - Under-utlisation jeopardises the costneutral model
 - Over-utlisation means we cannot deliver





'Cannot deliver' means funding might be jeopardised

 Evaluations are <u>mandated</u> by the Vic Dep't of Treasury & Finance's 'Resource Management Framework'

The Resource Management Framework (RMF) is a governance and operational framework for public sector accountability for the investment of public resources.

Mandatory requirements

6.1.1 Evaluating lapsing programs seeking continued funding

The Accountable Officer must ensure that:

- (a) evaluations of lapsing programs (if required after discussions with DTF) are completed within 12 months of when the funding is due to lapse
- Imperative for budget bids programs need to be evaluated (and demonstrate merit for continued funding)





Planning – Costing Templates

- For all projects we populate who does what and when
- Allows to gauge resource need and cost of the project
 - Need to ensure work commensurate with funding

		8	0	35.5	3.5	9.5	16.75	0	74.5	19	51			
Note: phases/activities below can be		2022/23												
amended/added as necessary. See														
sheet 'Example activities' for more														
options.														
				Q3		Q4								
				January-March	1			April-June	ril-June					
		VPS6	VPS6	VPS5	VPS5	VPS4	VPS6	VPS6	VPS5	VPS5	VPS4			
Phase	Substage/activity	VPS6 Manager	VPS6 Principal Officer	VPS5 Project Lead	VPS5 Analytics	VPS4 Project Officer	VPS6 Manager	VPS6 Principal Officer	VPS5 Project Lead	VPS5 Analytics	VPS4 Project Officer			
								1.1.000.044.001						
Project management	Jira admin	1		2		0.5	1		2		0.5			
Project management	Forecasting	0.5		1			0.5		1					
Project meetings	Monthly project meetings	1		1		1	1		1		1			
Governance meetings	Mental Health Reform Working Group	1		0.5			1		0.5					
Reporting	Quarterly evaluation dashboards	0.5		1		2	0.5		1		2			
Administrative data collection	Data collection from or Survey of Menu providers, Prin survey, SSS etc.						1		4		5			





Planning – Allows gauging resource need 'globally'

-	<u> </u>	N	U	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA
1		2022/23	2022/23	2022/23	2022/23	2022/23	2022/23	2022/23	2022/23	2022/23	2022/23	2022/23	2022/23	2022/23	2023/
2		Q2	Q3	Q3	Q3	Q3	Q3	Q3	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q1
3		VPS4	VPS6	VPS6	VPS6	VPS5	VPS5	VPS4	VPS6	VPS6	VPS6	VPS5	VPS5	VPS4	VPS
		VPS4	VPS6	VPS6	VPS6	VPS5	VPS5	_VPS4	VPS6	VPS6	VPS6	_VPS5	VPS5	VPS4	VPS
		Project	Manag	Princip	Analyti	Project	Analyti	Project	Manag	Princip	Analyti	Project	Analyti	Project	Mana
4 St	tatus	Ufficer	er	al	CS	Lead	CS	Ufficer	er	al	CS	Lead	CS	Ufficer	er
5 Cu	urrent	8.5	8.0	0.0		35.5	3.5	9.5	16.8	0.0		74.5	19.0	51.0	- 1
6 Cu	urrent	21.0	6.5	0.0		26.5	10.0	4.0	4.0	0.0		11.0	0.0	2.0	1
7 Cu	urrent .	9.0	2.8	0.0		23.0	3.5	12.0	8.3	0.0		43.5	3.5	21.5	1
8 Cu	urrent .	4.3	0.5	0.0		8.3	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0		15.0	3.0	8.5	- 1
9 Cu	urrent	0.0	0.5	0.0		0.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0		1.0	5.0	0.0	
10 Cu	urrent	0.0	2.2	0.0		17.8	7.0	2.0	1.3	0.0		5.8	0.0	0.0	
11 Cu	urrent	2.5	0.3	0.0		6.5	0.0	2.5	4.5	0.0		26.5	0.0	(.5	- 1
12 Pr	rospective	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0		4.4	0.0	0.0	
I3 Ac	dditional	6.5	3.5	0.0	5.0	28.0	11.0	16.5	2.3	0.0		17.0	0.0	12.5	- 1
14 Cu	urrent	15.0	21.0	0.0	5.2	59.0	78.6	13.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	2.0	- 1
15 10	urrent	24.8	5.1	0.0	0.0	27.1	20.8	25.6	2.4	0.0	0.0	10.6	0.0	12.3	
16 LL	urrent .	0.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	(.5	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	1.0	
IZ Pr	ospective	3.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	3.0	10.0	0.0	12.0	
IS AC	oditional	21.5	2.2	0.0	0.0	13.0	1.0	12.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	10.0	1
19 Ph	ospective	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	110.0	15.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	3.0	0.0	
20 Ph	ospective	0.0	20.0	29.0	10.0	110.0 65.5	12.0	0.0	20.0	12.0	25	100.0	10.0	0.0	- 1
21 EP	ospective	0.0	12.0	20.0	0.0	20 5	12.0	2.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	22.0	0.0	0.0	- 1
22 Ph	ospective	0.0	12.3	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	33.5	0.0	3.0	- 1
23 Ph	ospective	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	2.3	- 1
24 Pr	ospective	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	8.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	43.8	0.0	3.5	
25 Pr	rospective	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	47.7	44.0	32.5	42.0	ו
26 Pr	rospective	0.0	2.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	35.5	20.0	0.0	0.0	
27 Cu	urrent	11.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	26.0	3.0	29.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	23.0	10.0	13.0	
28 Ac	dditional	0.0	7.5	0.0	0.0	38.0	0.0	8.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	0.0	7.0	
29															
30															





Planning – Resourcing forward estimates (where are the bottlenecks?)

Pala	Laval	Have	Dhase			'Need'			Capacity^						
Role	Level	nave	rnase	Q4 23	Q1 Q24	Q2 Q24	Q3 Q24	Q4 Q24	Q4 23	Q1 Q24	Q2 Q24	Q3 Q24	Q4 Q24		
Managar		3	Existing	2.0	2.1	1.2	0.8	1.2	15	0.5	0.5	1.0	1.0		
wanager	VF30	3	Proposed	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.2	0.5	-1.5	-0.5	0.5	1.0	1.0		
Principal		2	Existing	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.8	12	1.6	16	1.4	12		
Officer	VF30	2	2 Proposed 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.3	1.0	1.0	1.4	1.2								
Project VDC F		13	Existing	8.3	9.2	5.3	2.4	6.3	.16	.2.0	10	6.0	22		
Lead	VF3 5		Proposed	9.3	6.7	5.8	4.6	4.4	-4.0	-2.5	1.5	0.0	2.5		
Analytics			Existing	1.9	3.0	2.1	0.7	1.1	-0.4	.17	.05	1.4	11		
Analytics	Analytics VPS 5	3	Proposed	1.5	1.7	1.4	0.9	0.8	-0.4	-1.7	-0.5	1.4	1.1		
Project		6	Existing	4.2	3.7	1.9	0.5	2.1	.0.2	0.3	2.0	5.0	3.6		
Officer	VF34	0	Proposed	2.0	2.0	1.2	0.5	0.3	-0.2	0.5	2.5	5.0	3.6		



Planning – Allows gauging individual 'capacity'

- How much work can we take on?
- Who can do the work?

Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23	Sep-23	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	Jan-24	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24
0.4	0.4	0.6	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6
0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4
0.7	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5
2.3	2.3	1.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0
0.8	0.8	0.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.0	1.0	1.0
0.0	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.6	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
0.0	0.0	0.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.7	0.7	1.1	1.6	1.6	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.3	1.3	1.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2
0.0	0.0	0.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3
2.4	2.4	2.6	3.0	3.0	3.2	2.4	2.4	2.4	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.9	1.9	1.9
0.3	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6
2.2	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.4	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.7	0.7	0.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.0	1.0	1.0
0.0	0.0	0.1	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2
0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.4



Department



Planning – Public Sector constraints

- Need to plan carefully, because we cannot (quickly) add more (staffing) resources
- Should work at or near maximum capacity
 - Under-utlisation jeopardises the costneutral model
 - Over-utlisation means we cannot deliver





Part 3: Monitoring







We know about the revenue already



Program ID	EP funding (\$)
Program A	130,379
Program B	80,124
Program C	307,533
Program D	376,500
Program E	313,025
Program F	60,000
Program G	518,750
Program H	305,000
Program I	186,915
Program J	63,836
Program K	74,080
Program L	40,000
Program M	80,000
Program N	55,121
Program O	385,000
Program P	79,527
Program Q	109,719
Program R	206,675
TOTAL	3,372,184



Department

\bigcirc

But we can also plot that against the 'estimated spend'



Program ID	EP funding (\$)
Program A	130,379
Program B	80,124
Program C	307,533
Program D	376,500
Program E	313,025
Program F	60,000
Program G	518,750
Program H	305,000
Program I	186,915
Program J	63,836
Program K	74,080
Program L	40,000
Program M	80,000
Program N	55,121
Program O	385,000
Program P	79,527
Program Q	109,719
Program R	206,675
TOTAL	3,372,184

VICTORIA State Government



And then add what we actually spend



Due sue ID	r_{r}
Program ID	EP funding (Ş)
Program A	130,379
Program B	80,124
Program C	307,533
Program D	376,500
Program E	313,025
Program F	60,000
Program G	518,750
Program H	305,000
Program I	186,915
Program J	63,836
Program K	74,080
Program L	40,000
Program M	80,000
Program N	55,121
Program O	385,000
Program P	79,527
Program Q	109,719
Program R	206,675
TOTAL	3,372,184

VICTORIA State Government

Department



Task tracking (=Jira) to connect dots

Evaluation Practice Software project	Projects / Evaluation Practice / EP_Kanban Kanban board				🖉 🏠 Release - 🗙
ANNING					
Kanban	Search this board Q OR AD AC A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Only My Issues Recently Updated			
ara Roadmap	COMMENCED - ON HOLD 61	TO DO 315	IN PROGRESS 338	AWAITING ADVICE / APPROVAL 45	DONE 28
Kanban board	EVALPRAC-2 School Mental Health Fund and Menu Evaluation	EVALPRAC-2 School Mental Health Fund and Menu Evaluation	School Mental Health Fund and Menu Evaluation	EVALPRAC-25 Career Start Project (prev. Transforming the Early Years o	EVALPRAC-2 School Mental Health Fund and Menu Evaluation
Reports	Program data collection advice - SPOT Funding Planner (manager)	Interim report 1 (manager) None	10/Mar/22 4:04 PM ▼ = EVALPRAC-2	CSP socialising and sense making completed case studies and lapsing for CSU VPS 5	MHRWG dashboards - fortnightly status updates (S
Issues	None EVALPRAC-658	EVALPRAC-7 👦	Quarteriy dashboards - design, preparation and delivery	None EVALPRAC-1049	Evalprac.e
ELOPMENT	MHRD Project Meetings (manager) 03/Jun/22 2:59 PM	Interim report 2 (manager) None S = •••• EVALPRAC-9	None EVALPRAC-5	CSP socialising and sense making lapsing report working group and WPS VPS5 None	EVALPRAC-11 Secondary Mathematics and Science Initiative E SMSI - Intake 2 end of course survey development a review
Releases	Interim Report 1 - Design quantitative methodology (Manager)	Interim report 2 - Evaluation plan (manager) None	Primary data collection - Menu item providers (Manager) 03/Jun/22 2:58 PM	EVALPRAC-1050 EVALPRAC-539 Building the Evaluation Culture at DET	CVALPRAC- CVALPRAC- SMSI - Intake 2 Case study prep and data collection
Add shortcut	None EVALPRAC-259	Bit = •••• EVALPRAC-8 (more stress	Project meetings (manager)	CoE Oversight VPS 6 12/Aug/22 11:43 AM	round None
Project settings	Mental Health Reform Working Group meetings (manager	None EVALPRAC-10	03/Jun/22 2:59 PM	EVALPRAC-608 (A)	EVALPRAC-62 EVALPRAC-62
	🛚 = •••• EVALPRAC-346 🧓	Final report (manager)	Fortnightly project status updates (manager) 03/Jun/22 2:59 PM	None	TLI Analytic support for Q1 CY2023 Bas
	Interim report 1 - administrative data analysis None	S = ···· EVALPRAC-60	🗟 = EVALPRAC-326 🌍	EP Advice 220721JN	Evalprac-1
	Evalprac-287 Primary data collection - Menu Item providers (manager)	Final report - Paper - board papers None	Jira admin (manager) None Ry = EVAI PRAC_393	26/Jul/22 12:39 PM	EVALPRAC-672 The Geelong Project Evaluation Ad hoc stakeholder management meeting (manage
	None EVALPRAC-414	Baseline and early findings - Paper - board papers	Project and resource planning (manager)	Project REAL Evaluation	None EVALPRAC-1
	Analytics project management (manager)	None Su = •••• EVALPRAC-315	None EVALPRAC-410	Z = EVALPRAC-746	EVALPRAC-600 Online core skills assessment tool (OCSAT) OCSAT Evaluation - MEF preparation (Manager)
	EVALPRAC-455	Ad hoc requests for input on MHRD briefing (manager)	MHRD Project Meetings - (SEO) None	Project REAL - Project Agreement (SEO) 18/Jan/23 10:24 AM	None
in a company-managed project Learn more	Jira admin (SEO) None	None EVALPRAC-461	₩ = •••• EVALPRAC-412	EVALPRAC-748	OCSAT Quantitative data analysis - Provider survey





Can track all tasks associated with a project

Projects / Evaluation Project I Attach Creat Description Add a description	Practice VALPRAC-600	
Subtasks		
😼 EVALPRAC-601 Projec	ct Agreement	
S EVALPRAC-602	MEF drafting (VPS5)	
EVALPRAC-683	Refine Program Logic (Manager)	
S EVALPRAC-687	evaluation initiation support project management billable (VPS5)	
S EVALPRAC-688	evaluation initiation support (VPS4)	
EVALPRAC-699	Evaluation Project Initiation and Management (Manager)	
S EVALPRAC-706	Evaluation Plan and MEF drafting (VPS5)	· = AB DONE~
S EVALPRAC-707	Refine Program Logic (VPS5)	🕘 done
EVALPRAC-719	Monthly Project Meetings (VPS5)	
S EVALPRAC-834	MEF (VPS2)	
S EVALPRAC-853	Evaluation - MEF preparation (Manager)	
EVALPRAC-867	project admin and monthly updates (VPS5)	
CVALPRAC-880	Focus group question drafting (VPS5)	💮 DONE
EVALPRAC-890	Weekly Eval Meetings (VPS5)	
S EVALPRAC-965	Data collection phase 1 - Focus group sessions (VPS4)	
S EVALPRAC-970	Interim report 1 preparation (VPS5)	
EVALPRAC-971	Interim report 1 preparation and review (Manager)	

'ORIA state

Department





20



Build a database: ~1,800 rows each week

		Parent card (=	project)				Mo	oney spe	nt on	spe	cific	: task
	Person d	oing the work				Time	spent	on the ta	ask			
_	A D	E	F G	н	1	J L Sub task Advice and	М	N		Q	R	
	Extract			ent.undef		category if Support type if	Time	Additional project	Substa	Ан	ourlu	
1	Date 🝸 Key 📑	Summary 🗾	Status Assignee	🔨 ine 🔄 📑	Label	applicab 🝸 relevant	🝸 tracking 🍡	🖸 cost 🛛 🍸	e Level 🗋	ME 🔨 B	ate 🍸 💲	
39630	24-Apr EVALPRAC-47 (Backlog K	* # EVALPRAC-25		Final report			VPS5	0	148	0
39631	24-Apr EVALPRAC-46 (prt 2 VPS 5 / Japsing program writing	Done E	lo EVALPRAC-25		Interim report	59.5h		VPS5	59.5	148	8806
39632	24-Apr EVALPRAC-45 (prt 1VPS5	Done E	6 EVALPRAC-25		Interim report			VPS5	0	148	0
39633	24-Apr EVALPRAC-43 (dings 4 (VPS5)	Action K	+ EVALPRAC-25		Emerging findings report	24h		VPS5	24	148	3552
39634	24-Apr EVALPRAC-42 (ort 3 VPS5	Done E	6 EVALPRAC-25		Emerging findings report	5h		VPS5	5	148	740
39635	24-Apr EVALPRAC-41 (ort 2 VPS5	Done E	6 EVALPRAC-25		Emerging findings report			VPS5	0	148	0
39636	24-Apr EVALPRAC-40 (ort 1VPS5	Done E	6 EVALPRAC-25		Emerging findings report			VPS5	0	148	0
39637	24-Apr EVALPRAC-39	(2024)	Backlog	EVALPRAC-26		Final report			[#N/A	01 1	#N/A	0
39638	24-Apr EVALPRAC-38	plan Phase 4 (2024) detailed	Backlog	EVALPRAC-26		Evaluation plan			#N/A	0, 1	#N/A	0
39639	24-Apr EVALPRAC-37	ort 2 (2023)	Backlog	EVALPRAC-26		Interim report			[#N/A	0, 1	#N/A	0
39640	24-Apr EVALPRAC-36	n 2 (2023)	Backlog	EVALPRAC-26		Interim report			[#N/A	0, 1	#N/A	0
39641	24-Apr EVALPHAC-35	plan Phase 3 (2023) detailed	Backlog	EVALPRAC-26		Evaluation plan			#N/A	U1 4	#N/A	U
39642	24-Apr EVALPHAC-34	prt 1Phase 2 (2022)	Backlog	EVALPRAC-26		Interim report			#N/A	U1 4	#N/A	U
39643	24-Apr EVALPHAC-33	rt 1(2022)	Backlog	EVALPRAC-26		Interim report			#N/A	01 4	#N/A	U
39644	24-Apr EVALPHAC-32	reement	Done \	a EVALPRAC-26		Project Agreement	30.4h		VPS6	30.4	230	6992
39645	24-Apr EVALPHAC-31	plan Phase 2 (2022) detailed, and	Done \	a EVALPRAC-26		Evaluation plan			VPS6	U	230	U
39646	24-Apr EVALPHAC-30	t: Baseline and early findings (Phas	Done J	EVALPRAC-26		Emerging findings report			VPS5	U	148	U
39647	24-Apr EVALPHAC-29	ase 1(2021)	Done J	EVALPRAC-26		Evaluation plan			VPS5	U	148	U
39648	24-Apr EVALPHAC-28		Done J	EVALPRAC-26		Monitoring and Evaluation Framew	ork		VPS5	U	148	U
39649	24-Apr EVALPHAC-27	erarching program logic	Done J	EVALPRAC-26	5 J 5 J	Program logic			VPS5	U	148	U
39650	24-Apr EVALPRAU-26	Js Heform (SSPR) strategic evaluati	Done \	arth	Evaluation Project			0000	VPS6	U	230	U
39651	24-Apr EVALPRAU-25 U	I ransforming the Early Years of the	Action K	her	Evaluation Project			2263.2	UPS5	U	148	U
39652	24-Apr EVALPHAC-13	e Evaluation	Action F	ENALDDAC 11	Evaluation Project	Ein allow and	9.25%		UDEE	9.25	140	1269
39653	24-Apr EVALPHAC-IS I		Action L	n EVALPRAU-II		Final report	3.25h		VP35	3.25	140	1303
39654	24-Apr EVALPRAC-14 E		Done K	EVALPRAC-11		Interim report	20.42h		VP35	20.42	140	3310.16
33600	24-Apr EVALPRAC-13 E		Done P	EVALPRAC-11		Interim report	37.23h		UDEE	37.23 199.75	140	29445
200057	24-Apr EVALPHAC-12 1	d Seitere deixiesius Euclusian	Assister L	EVALPHAC-II	Evolution Designs	Intenimepoit	0.75%	944 5	UDEE	0.75	140	23413
20050	24-Apr EVALPHAC-10 4	re (a sesser)	Results E	IS EVALIDDAC-2	Evaluation Project	Euclusian alan	0.156	044.	Unee	0.15	220	
20050	24-Apr EVALPHAC-10	in (manager)	Backlog E	EVALPHAC-2		Interim report			VPS6	0	230	0
29660	24-Apr EVALPHAC-3	v plan (managar)	Backlog E	EVALPHAC-2		Evaluation plan			VPS6	ő	230	0
39661	24-Apr EVALPHAC-0	ipian(manager)	Backlog E	EVALPBAC-2		Interim report	8 92h		VPS6	892	230	2051.6
39662	24-Apr EVAL PBAC-6	plan (manager)	Done F	EVAL PRAC-2		Fueluation plan	0.676		VPS6	0.62	230	154.1
39663	24-Apr EVAL PBAC-5	sign preparation and delivery (man:	Action E	EVAL PBAC-2		Interim report	10.92h		VPS6	10.92	230	2511.6
39664	24-Apr EVAL PBAC-4	report - Evaluation plan (manager)	Done E	EVAL PBAC-2		Evaluation plan	20.5h		VPS6	20.5	230	4715
39665	24-Apr EVAL PBAC-2	and Menu Evaluation	Action S	nite	Evaluation Project	E availor prair	20.011	21525	VPS5	0	148	0
								21020				



State



Connect Jira with Excel (or R, Python, Stata) for reporting



YTD expenditure	2-Jan	9-Jan	16-Jan	23-Jan	30-Jan	6-Feb	13-Feb	20-Feb	27-Feb	6-Mar	13-Mar	20-Mar	27-Mar	3-Apr	10-Apr	17-Apr	24-Apr	
EVALPRAC-11	24,565	24,565	25,749	26,787	28,269	29,297	30,111	30,678	31,440	32,445	33,516	34,512	35,186	35,819	36,265	39,107	41,206	
EVALPRAC-126	31,230	31,230	31,230	31,269	31,309	31,366	31,366	31,366	31,499	31,690	31,837	31,925	31,962	31,962	31,962	32,116	32,116	
EVALPRAC-19	144,344	144,788	145,972	148,208	151,895	152,378	153,969	157,447	157,447	160,726	164,148	164,407	169,446	175,152	178,793	180,449	183,680	
EVALPRAC-2	80,174	80,814	81,107	83,892	87,832	91,741	100,807	104,959	112,000	113,961	115,904	118,796	123,721	138,603	142,969	144,265	147,957	
EVALPRAC-25	99,565	99,565	99,986	102,479	111,662	113,978	117,647	120,155	121,219	123,848	132,358	138,140	142,654	148,019	150,728	153,861	155,341	
	10,000	10,000		10,770		10,770	10,770		10,000	10,770	10,770	10,770	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,500	
EVALPRAC-600	28,773	28,773	29,564	30,619	31,720	32,943	34,485	38,537	47,936	48,455	51,882	52,385	53,725	54,879	55,473	57,092	58,907	
	201,200	201,001	000,000	020,007	,	001,520	0, 1,0 1,			120,520	,	101,102		,		,	100,000	<u> </u>
EVALPRAC-672	11,311	11,385	11,911	12,661	13,557	15,393	27,577	21,365	23,922	28,702	34,146	35,658	39,582	42,222	45,384	49,256	54,552	
EVALPRAC-69	48,973	50,083	50,664	51,256	55,575	56,378	56,378	59,930	61,928	63,793	64,829	66,087	66,457	71,323	71,693	72,211	74,913	
EVALPRAC-709	11,479	11,479	12,071	13,750	13,974	16,777	16,925	16,999	19,175	19,516	19,907	20,885	22,203	22,260	22,508	23,578	23,578	
EVALPRAC-768	9,429	9,429	10,031	10,031	10,179	10,433	11,953	12,314	12,487	12,865	15,406	16,430	18,311	19,812	22,675	24,631	29,460	
EVALPRAC-78	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	39,365	
	88,338	89,448	90,028	90,620	94,940	95,742	95,742	99,294	101,292	103,157	104,193	105,451	105,821	110,687	111,057	111,575	114,277	
EVALPRAC-1216	-	-	-	-	-	2,546	7,343	11,155	12,842	13,187	13,187	13,187	18,101	20,628	20,924	22,883	26,039	
EVALPRAC-1292	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,040	3,336	3,780	6,310	7,050	7,050	9,122	20,737	22,883	22,883	26,039	
EVALPRAC-1270					-	-	3,305	3,305	3,305	3,305	3,981	3,981	6,176	7,451	9,401	10,404	12,841	
Grand Total	804,073	839,203	855,303	892,446	922,506	970,888	1,019,388	1,049,634	1,089,217	1,122,865	1,161,027	1,191,061	1,232,970	1,289,522	1,319,960	1,346,100	1,385,620	





Monitoring works not only at the project level...



Program ID	FP funding (\$)
	120.270
Program A	130,379
Program B	80,124
Program C	307,533
Program D	376,500
Program E	313,025
Program F	60,000
Program G	518,750
Program H	305,000
Program I	186,915
Program J	63,836
Program K	74,080
Program L	40,000
Program M	80,000
Program N	55,121
Program O	385,000
Program P	79,527
Program Q	109,719
Program R	206,675
TOTAL	3,372,184



Department



...but at the program level as well (in the same way)







Up to 1,800 cards on our Kanban board







Can use to track effort and costs for non-project work

Project	Hours	Cost
2022 Budget Bid Advice	226	\$38.5k
Re-establishing the DE Evaluation Panel	1,028	\$196.5k
2023 Annual DE Evaluation Plan	37	\$6.0k





Some further considerations

- Time tracking tool is essential no need for Jira, but needs to have certain functionalities
- Daily rate and underlying assumptions have big impact on the 'actual costing', esp. 'time on the tools'
- VPS-internal Daily Blended Rate is always much cheaper than consultancies' rate



Educatio





On top of cost neutral we also deliver savings

Because Public Service staff are cheaper than commercial providers, we can 'set aside' a proportion of the funding as 'savings'



-28



Questions?



