

TEMAG

Evaluating large scale education reform

The educational context

- Steady decline/plateau in student achievement
- Steady decline in impact on educationally disadvantaged
- Increasing public concern about the quality of teacher education – declining recruitment standards, perceived declining quality of graduates.
- Concern for teacher quality reflecting the importance of teacher education to the 'social and economic development of Australia'*

PISA mean scores in Australia, 2000 -2015 540 900 525 510 495 495 480 465 2000 2003 2006 2009 2012 2015 Reading Maths Science

ATAR scores of entrants from year 12



* Louden, W; 2008



Initial teacher education in Australia

- Teaching as registered profession
- "Initial teacher education"- teacher preparation program at higher education institution
- All programs must be accredited by State and Territory regulatory authorities
- National standards for accreditation

101 Damnations*

- As of 2008
 - 101 government inquiries into Australian initial teacher education (ITE) since 1979
 - No impact on policy
 - Regulation of ITE
 - Targeting or increasing government funding



The TEMAG reforms, 2015

"Action Now: Classroom Ready Teachers"

Australian Government Minister for Education and Training (2014) appointed a **Teacher Education Ministerial Advisory Group (TEMAG)** to provide recommendations on how initial teacher education could be improved to better prepare new teachers. Key focus:

- evidence of impact on teacher quality

Evidence to:

- increase community confidence in teacher education through rigorous assessment of those graduates
- ensure graduate teachers were consistently prepared to positively impact student learning.
- ensure there are sufficient numbers of classroom-ready graduate teachers to meet demand
- > of active ongoing improvement in ITE programs.

AITSL is funded by the Australian Government



AITSL

- Key role in implementing the TEMAG reform agenda
 - Quality assurance of teacher education



Accreditation of initial teacher education programs in Australia

Standards and Procedures December 2015



Map of Overview Reform

Selection

Quality assurance Robust assessment Professional Induction experience

National research & workforce planning



Evaluating Reform:

Measuring program • implementation

Next Steps

- Measuring impacts. •
- Informing ongoing governance, policy and program implementation to ensure reform success
- Establishing the ٠ evidence for effective future policy - what works?

Inputs - a National Agenda

- \ Evidence Base -current understanding of Best Practice
- \ Existing Graduate Teacher **Standards**
- ∖ Teachers' supervisors and principals skills and knowledge of effective teaching (BASE)
- ∖ Relationships between universities and placement schools (BASE)
- ∖ Continous monitoring research and improvement feedback

Ministerial agreement to implement

2015 Standards and **Procedures**

Evaluation system

- National consensus and approach
- System acceptance and socialisation
- Monitoring and feedback continuous cycle
- Building evaluation capital
 - building the evidence base for teacher quality
 - informing iterative and effective policy in ITE
 - framework for monitoring and building large scale multidimensional education reform

Multiple, diverse and competing stakeholders

- Shared Implementation
 - AITSL
 - 9 Education Governments Commonwealth, State and Territory education departments
 - 8 Teacher Regulatory Authorities
 - 49 ITE Providers (Higher Education institutions)
 - Around 380 ITE programs
 - 30,000 commencing ITE students per year
 - Australian Schools

Investment > \$16 million

Investment ongoing

Multifaceted and layered impacts – in a political reform agenda

Changing political environment

Multifaceted Impacts

Cost effective Data rigor Т

i.

m

- Quality of ITE courses Quality of pre-service teacher graduates
- Supply and demand Equity of access to quality
- Equity of access to quality teaching
- Improved educational
- achievement for Australian school students

Cross sector and stakeholder commitment

monitor and guide its implementation and to determine the impact.

designed to optimise data, and

Cost effective Data rigor





Overview Map of reform Selection

Quality ion assurance

Robust ce assessment Professional experience

Induction

National research & workforce planning

aitsl

Continuous cycle

The outcomes should start to be seen in the specified timeframes. The initiating evidence base is continually developing via feedback loops – resulting in stronger flow-on improvements from left-to-right over time. Short term outcomes start 2015–17 Medium term outcomes start 2018–19 Long term outcomes start 2020–21

Next Steps





Key reform: robust assessment

<u>Teaching Performance Assessment</u> New standard required in all programs

- final-year
- Authentic reflection of teaching practice
- valid assessment
- measurable and justifiable achievement criteria
- reliable assessment
- moderation processes

2x teaching performance assessment projects funded by AITSL











aitsl

National research &

Overview

of reform

Selection

Quality Robust assurance assessment Professional experience

Induction

National research & workforce planning

Next Steps

aits

Next Steps

Map

Following the scoping of the **TEMAG** Evaluation, the next phase involves undertaking a number of evaluation activities and delivering a range of evaluation products. The proposed evaluation activities are as follows:

Reference group

Engage key stakeholders, including seeking nominees for the TEMAG Evaluation Reference Group, to provide expert advice and oversight of the multi-year evaluation project

Outcomes framework

Develop an outcomes framework, which maps available data sources and establishes baseline measures against the performance indicators

Reporting dashboard

Scope and develop a reporting dashboard, which will deliver predominantly quantitative data every 6 months, with the first to be delivered in late 2017

Independent analysis

Identify and engage experts who will be available to provide independent analysis (both qualitative and quantitative data analysis and interpretation)

Expert review

Identify and engage experts who will be available to provide expert review of the project, every 2 years (system level review of the evaluation data against the policy intent of the TEMAG reforms)

Stakeholder feedback

At agreed points throughout the evaluation and where there is a clear need to investigate particular areas of implementation, a deep dive analysis of a particular area of the reforms and/or targeted stakeholder feedback will be conducted.

The TEMAG Evaluation

National approach for continuous cycle of

- reform
- measurement of reform
- iterative development of effective reform

building evaluation system building evaluation capital

promoting large scale successful and national program and policy reform