

Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs





Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### **Disclaimer**

The opinions, comments and/or analysis expressed in this document are those of the author's and do not necessarily represent the views of the Minister for Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs and cannot be taken in any way as expressions of Government policy.



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

## **Reasons for reforms**

- Economic and fiscal crises
- Globalisation and competition
- Failure of economic models (Keynesian model)
- Limitations observed in public service delivery



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

## Public sector reforms in many other countries

# Main aims were to:

Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery

Effectively respond to citizen demands



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

# Traditional public service was perceived to be...

- Too bureaucratic
- Rule bound
- Unresponsive to user/citizen-demand
- Costly
- Inefficient



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### **Public sector reforms expected**

- Public sector agency to operate 'more like private sector agency' and
- Public Sector managers to be given authority and flexibility to manage resources to let

"the managers manage"



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### PUBLIC SECTOR REFORMS: COMMON FEATURES

- Devolved and decentralised management
- Enhanced authority to managers
- Reductions in the size of Public Service
- Contracting out, outsourcing and privatisation
- Creation of specialised, multi-function agencies
- Performance based pay



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

# Methods, strategies or tools used in public sector reforms

Are known as

**New Public Management [NPM]** 

or

#### Managerialism

See for example, Considine, M and Painter, M (eds) (1997) Managerialism: The great debate, Melbourne University Press



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### NPM reforms in Australia started in 1983

#### **Three generations:**

- First generation: From 1983 to 1996 (Hawke/Keating Governments)
- Second generation: From 1997 to 2002 (Howard Government (1<sup>st</sup> two terms)
- Third generation: From 2003 (Howard and Rudd Governments)



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

### **FIRST GENERATION**

The 1983 White Paper 'Reforming the Australian Public Service'

> "The responsiveness, efficiency and accountability of the Commonwealth administration" .....

Wanted:

"an administration that is more responsive and accountable to ministers and parliament"



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### **Changes introduced**

- Strengthened ministerial control
- Changed the tenure of departmental secretaries
- **Created SES category**
- Appointment of ministerial advisors
- **Efficiency Scrutiny Unit** 
  - o 1987 Public Service Board abolished
  - Responsibility for personnel matters given to departmental heads



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### White Paper 'Budgetary reforms': Financial Management Improvement Program (FMIP)

Three main aims:

To enhance accountability to the minister, parliament and the public

To assist government decision-making and prioritising (budget decision making)

To provide better information to aid managers to monitor programs budgets



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

### First two years of implementation

- Without proper performance management and evaluation of programs it was difficult to assess the success
- Cabinet agreed in 1987 to a Finance Minister's submission to introduce a new evaluation strategy
- Mandatory evaluations



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

# Main elements

- Preparation by portfolio departments of a threeyear rolling plan which should show planned evaluations
- Submission of those plans to Finance
- Forward estimates
- Integration of program evaluation within the central budgetary process through Portfolio Evaluation Plans (PEPs)



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### **Other requirements**

- Each program should be evaluated every 3-5 years
- New proposals for funding should have a detailed evaluation plan including Terms of Reference, methodology and timing (major projects only)
- Evaluation results were to be published.
  Finance was to support and facilitate but evaluations were the responsibility of line departments
   Evaluation was mandatory but performance measurement not



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### **Progress achieved**

- Number of evaluations increased (by June 1990 over 160 evaluations were in train)
- Auditor General: 'most evaluations were useful in funding decisions'
- Savings were identified because of evaluations
- Many departments established evaluation units while some had evaluation & audit committees



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

# But there were some issues as observed by Auditor-General

- Evaluations varied by quality and there was no uniformity in reporting findings
- Methodological problems
- Lack of performance reporting
- Lack of capacity and training
- Some evaluations took a long time to complete





Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

## Some issues Cont...

- Evaluations focused more on processes and less on outcomes
- Some departments considered evaluations to be a burden on resources
- Some questioned Finance role in evaluations
- Some evaluations focused on small components of programs
- Portfolio Evaluation Plans were longer (some PEPS exceeding 100 pages) than specified



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

# Rolling program of reviews (RPRs)

- Cabinet approved RPRs (to be conducted by Finance jointly with line departments)
- The first review covered four departments
- The first report released in 1996



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

# Some issues identified by the first review

- Program objectives were frequently not stated
- Lack of suitable performance information
- Performance expressed in terms of activities or workloads
- Unclear links between inputs, processes and outcomes
- Little emphasis on outcomes



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

### **SECOND GENERATION**

New government in 1996

Planned RPRs did not proceed

The National Commission of Audit report released

- Accrual budgeting
- Increased flexibility to managers (removal of barriers to operate)
- Performance monitoring



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### Second generation cont...

- Government wanted to continue with the Public Sector Reforms
- Preferred private sector models
- Significant reductions in Public Service
- Outsourcing, contracting out and privatisation
- Performance or results based management
- Performance based pay



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

- Devolution continued
- Outcome-Output Framework and accrual reporting introduced
- Departmental heads were required to report:
  - Ex-ante performance in Portfolio Budget Statements
  - Ex-post performance in Annual reports
- Mandatory requirements for evaluation removed
- Lapsing reviews to stay

However, evaluation results should be incorporated in

**Cabinet submissions** 



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

# Shifts during the second generation:

- Emphasis changed from evaluation to performance monitoring
- Central control to deregulation

#### Finance had a reduced role to play



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### THIRD GENERATION: NEW ISSUES

External issues became important For example, border protection and internal security (Halligan, 2004, 2006)

Local issues Drought; competitiveness; water and environment



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### **Whole-of-Government solutions**

- Whole-of-Government approach to improve outcomes
- Impact on the structure and the capacity of the public service to meet challenges
- Establishment of new agencies/workgroups within the Dept. of the Prime Minster & Cabinet (PM&C)

For example:

- Office of National Security
- Cabinet Implementation Unit
- Office of Work and Family



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

#### Third generation: key features

- Deregulation, devolution at the same time central control (Whole-of-Government Units)
- Also resulted in a fragmented system
- Multiple players: Finance, Australian National Audit Office, Public Service Commission, Management Advisory Board, and new central units in PM&C

**New Government in 2008** 



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

# **Operation Sunlight**

- Simplified processes
- Greater transparency and accountability, but no mention of evaluations
- Finance has an extended role It will:
  - Redesign Portfolio Budget Statement reporting formats
  - Review outcomes of individual departments



Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs

## Challenges

Evidence based policy requires sound evaluations

- Maintaining coordination between agencies
- Designing performance measures for more than one agency
- Maintaining accountability in a devolved and deregulated environment
- Using IT developments to enhance performance reporting