

Using Change Management Frameworks to add Value to Health Service Evaluations

Evylyn Campbell-Brophy Campbell Research & Consulting

for Australasian Evaluation Society International Conference September 2008



- There is a gap between evidence-based best practice & daily practice in healthcare settings
- Complexity of the healthcare environment makes it difficult to breach this gap
 - "individual approaches [to change] fail to recognise that medicine is largely practiced as part of a group or team, embedded within a complex organisational structure" (Ferlie & Shortell, 2001)
- Need for an effective framework to identify barriers & enablers to change in this setting & inform change management strategies

The Context

- Qualitative Research into the Implementation of Best Practice Pain Management in Emergency Departments
- A project Campbell Research conducted for the National Institute of Clinical Studies (NICS) - an institute of the NHMRC
- Will use this project as a case study to:
 - Highlight the evidence-practice gap
 - Introduce a multi-level evaluation framework
 - Demonstrate how this framework can be applied to add value to change management practices in Australian health service settings



Background

- NICS works to improve healthcare by getting the best available evidence from health & medical research into everyday clinical practice
- This project examined change management in the emergency department setting
- Designed to inform a targeted implementation initiative to improve ED pain management based on evidence-based best practice guidelines



Background

- A national audit had identified gaps between actual clinical practice & best practice
- NICS wanted to understand the barriers & enablers to these gaps prior to launching a national implementation strategy
- Qualitative research commissioned to understand the perspective of emergency department clinicians



Barriers & Enablers to Change

- Identifying barriers to, & enablers of, change is an important step in planning how to address the evidencepractice gap
- Barriers & enablers can occur in different settings, across different levels of the health care system
- Understanding what is going on at each level, and the context, helps to develop targeted strategies



Multi-level Framework

- CR&C utilised multi-level framework for change to guide the evaluation
- Developed by Ferlie & Shortell (2001) this framework identifies 4 levels within the health service setting where change occurs:
 - 1. The individual (Emergency Department clinician)
 - 2. The team (Emergency Department)
 - 3. The organisation (hospital/ health service)
 - 4. The broader system (Australian healthcare system)



Multi-level Eramework



 Considering all 4 levels of change can maximise the probability of implementing successful change & improve quality outcomes in the health sector

What's so good about this framework?

- Breakdown the target area
- Barriers & enablers can be identified at each level & the interdependency of these levels explored
- Enables development of specific, targeted strategies for implementing change in health services settings
- Helps decision-makers decide where to concentrate their efforts, understand what strategies will have most effect across all levels
- Tool to help translate findings into practical, effective, valuable change management strategies



Why the Qualitative Approach?

- Framework combined with a qualitative approach
 - Focus groups in 6 hospitals across 3 states (in metro & regional areas)
- To understand the context of pain management within individual emergency departments
 - How change occurred in individual EDs
 - Influence of different organisational cultures
 - Organic discussions around sensitive issues
- Value added by using grassroots clinicians own words
 - You told us this is what you thought'







A snapshot of the findings

- Discord between written guidelines & everyday healthcare practice
 - Confirmed the gap existed and that ED clinicians were aware of the gap

Influence of environment

- Limited time to access written guidelines
- Practice strongly influenced by senior physicians

The team & the system

- Barriers perceived to stem from organisation & system blockages
- Team orientated focus to enablers



Broader Implications

- Overcoming the discord between written guidelines & daily practice
 - If using written guidelines to instigate changes in practice ...
 - Assess the relevant information & develop targeted, succinct implementation strategies



Broader Implications

- The need to tailor interventions to context specific barriers & enablers in complex healthcare settings to maximise success and best use of resources
 - Understand where the barriers & enablers sit
 - Understand that each healthcare setting is an unique environment
 - Understand the influence of organisational attitude (receptive or resistant to change?)
 - Tailor change management interventions to the appropriate level, and ensure that strategies take into account the environment and organisational context
- Effective enablers of change need to target multi-levels of the healthcare setting
- Change strategies targeted at a single level, without some consideration of the effect of barriers or enablers existing at other levels, are unlikely to be effective



Broader Application

- Application to health areas outside the hospital/ health service setting
 - The area should have a clearly defined system, organisation & teams
 - Defined **teams** are especially important for this framework
 - Most healthcare is delivered in teams & this approach assumes the team structure will be clearly defined)
- Not quite so adaptable to the community health setting

In Summary

Health resources are notoriously limited

- How can we get the most value for money out of the implementation?
- How can we make the most improvement to health outcomes?

Multi-level framework optimises the value of healthcare evaluations

- Identifies barriers & enablers as they occur at the different levels of the healthcare system
- Change management solutions that target funds & resources at the levels where we can expect the greatest return for effort & the most effective change
- Additional value gained by qualitative research with grassroots clinicians
 - Provides the evidence to show:

'we are doing it this way because **you** told us this what needed to happen for effective change to occur'



In Conclusion: Adding Value

• What's the value of using a framework based on the four levels of change?

An evaluation tool to identify barriers and enablers, and translate findings into practical, effective, valuable change management strategies



- Ferlie EB, Shortell SM. Improving the quality of health care in the United Kingdom and the United States: a framework for change. *The Milbank Quarterly*, Volume 79, 2001, pp. 281-315.
- Grimshaw J, Eccles M, & Tetroe J. Implementing Clinical Guidelines: Current Evidence and Future Implications. The Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions, Volume 24, 2004, pp. S31-S37.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

For more information go to:

www.campbellresearch.com.au